



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/467,397	06/06/95	FRANK	8 HY7-041

HALE AND DOOR
60 STATE STREET
BOSTON MA 02109

18N2/0626

EXAMINER	
LADSON, T	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1809	18

DATE MAILED:

06/26/97

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application.

Commissioner of Patents

Please see attachment.

Thank you

Interview Summary

Application No.

08/467,397

Applicant(s)

FRANK et al.

Examiner

Thomas G. Larson, Ph.D.

Group Art Unit

1809



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Thomas G. Larson, Ph.D.

(3) _____

(2) Dr. Shan Kerner

(4) _____

Date of Interview 26 Jun 1997Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: pending

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Dr. Kerner was informed that the application had been assigned to a different examiner and that the after final amendment filed by Dr. Keown on 6/2/97 had been received. Allowable subject matter was discussed briefly and Dr. Kerner indicated that Dr. Keown would call the examiner next week to discuss allowable subject matter in greater detail. Dr. Kerner was also informed that the IDS filed 2/3/97 after the final rejection mailed 11/29/96 could not be considered because the required petition and certification statement were absent. Dr. Kerner stated that the required petition and certification statement would be prepared and forwarded to the examiner.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.